IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLIN.

Plaintiff, vs. DAVID RODRIGUEZ, individually and d/b/a "DEJUICE DROP SHOT FLAVORZ, LLC" and Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-533 Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-533	REYNOLDS INNOVATIONS INC.,) Isilia
DAVID RODRIGUEZ, individually and d/b/a "DEJUICE DROP SHOT" Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-533 Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-533	Plaintiff,	
DAVID RODRIGUEZ, individually) and d/b/a "DEJUICE DROP SHOT)	VS.))
and d/b/a "DEJUICE DROP SHOT)) Civil Action No. 1:13-cy-533
,	DAVID RODRIGUEZ, individually)
FLAVORZ, LLC" and	and d/b/a "DEJUICE DROP SHOT)
	FLAVORZ, LLC" and)
"EJUICEIT.COM"; and	"EJUICEIT.COM"; and)
DEJUICE DROP SHOT FLAVORZ,)	DEJUICE DROP SHOT FLAVORZ,)
LLC d/b/a "EJUICEIT.COM,"	LLC d/b/a "EJUICEIT.COM,")
Defendants.	Defendants.)
)		_)

FINAL JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION ON CONSENT

Plaintiff Reynolds Innovations Inc. ("RII") and Defendants (i) David Rodriguez, individually and d/b/a "DeJuice Drop Shot Flavorz, LLC" and "EJUICEIT.COM"; and (ii) DeJuice Drop Shot Flavorz, LLC d/b/a/ "EJUICEIT.COM" (collectively, "Defendants") have reached a confidential agreement in settlement of the dispute between them and have consented to the entry of this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction on Consent ("Consent Judgment"), based on the following stipulated findings of facts and conclusions of law, which the Court hereby adopts for purposes of the entry of this Consent Judgment.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. RII is a North Carolina corporation with a place of business at 401 North

Main Street, Winston-Salem, North Carolina.

- 2. RII is a trademark holding company and subsidiary of R.J. Reynolds

 Tobacco Company. RII is the owner of distinctive trademarks, including but not limited
 to (i) trademarks consisting of the word "CAMEL," alone or in conjunction with other
 words and designs, including depictions of a camel, and (ii) trademarks incorporating the
 words "CAMEL TURKISH" in conjunction with other word and/or design elements.
- 3. RII, through its predecessors-in-interest and affiliates, has used the CAMEL trademarks continuously for 100 years in connection with tobacco products, including but not limited to cigarettes. (For convenience, and unless the context indicates otherwise, the term "Reynolds" will be used to refer collectively to RII's predecessors-in-interest and/or to RII's corporate affiliates who use the trademarks owned by RII under authority from RII.)
- 4. Reynolds has registered various versions of its CAMEL marks on the Principal Register of the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") under, *inter alia*, U.S. Trademark Registration Nos. 126,760; 1,391,824; 1,502,414; and 3,211,464. Each of these above-listed registrations has become incontestable under Section 15 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1065. (For convenience, the marks shown in these registrations and their common-law counterparts are collectively referred to hereinafter as the "CAMEL Marks.")
- 5. Among the CAMEL Marks are trademarks that incorporate the designations "CAMEL" and "TURKISH." Reynolds has used the trademarks that

incorporate "CAMEL" and "TURKISH" continuously for approximately 100 years in connection with tobacco products such as cigarettes.

- 6. Reynolds has registered various versions of its CAMEL TURKISH marks on the Principal Register of the USPTO under U.S. Trademark Registration Nos. 222,446; 2,620,063; 2,749,434; and 3,194,975. Each of these above-listed registrations has become incontestable under Section 15 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1065. (For convenience, the marks shown in these registrations and their common-law counterparts are collectively referred to hereinafter as the "CAMEL TURKISH Marks.")
- 7. As a result of many years of continuous use and promotion of the CAMEL Marks and CAMEL TURKISH Marks, RII's CAMEL Marks and CAMEL TURKISH Marks have acquired a high degree of recognition throughout the United States as symbols of the highest quality of tobacco products. The public is uniquely aware of the CAMEL Marks and CAMEL TURKISH Marks, and identifies those marks with Reynolds. The CAMEL Marks are famous trademarks that are widely recognized by the general public as a designation of the source of Reynolds's goods.
- 8. Defendant David Rodriguez (i) is an owner and officer of, and the registered agent for, Defendant DeJuice Drop Shot Flavorz, LLC ("DeJuice LLC"); and (ii) directs and controls the business activities of Defendant DeJuice LLC.
- 9. Defendant DeJuice LLC is a company organized under the laws of New Mexico, with a place of business in Rio Rancho, New Mexico.

- 10. Defendants operate and control the website located at EJUICEIT.COM ("Defendants' Website") through which Defendants advertise, offer for sale, and sell liquid nicotine products called "E-Liquid," and other products associated with electronic cigarettes.
- 11. Defendants advertised, sold, and distributed liquid nicotine products under or bearing the CAMEL Marks, or colorable imitations of the CAMEL Marks that are confusingly similar to the CAMEL Marks.
- 12. Defendants advertised, sold, and distributed liquid nicotine products under or bearing the CAMEL TURKISH Marks, or colorable imitations of the CAMEL TURKISH Marks that are confusingly similar to the CAMEL TURKISH Marks.
- by filing a complaint (the "Complaint") against Defendants. The Complaint alleged claims for trademark infringement, unfair competition and false designation of origin, and trademark dilution arising under sections 32 and 43 of the Federal Trademark Act of 1946 (the "Lanham Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114, 1125; unfair or deceptive trade practices arising under N.C. Gen. Stat. §§ 75-1 et seq.; unfair or deceptive trade practices arising under N.M.S.A. 1978 §§ 57-12-1 et seq.; trademark dilution and injury to business reputation arising under N.M.S.A. 1978 § 57-3B-15; and trademark infringement and unfair competition arising under the common law of the State of North Carolina and the State of New Mexico.

- 14. The parties have agreed to resolve their dispute by the entry of this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction on Consent, as well as by payment from Defendants to RII of a confidential amount of money.
- 15. Pursuant to Section 39 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1121, and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over RII's claims against Defendants. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 16. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because Defendants have purposefully availed themselves of the privilege of doing business in this district. Defendants own and operate the website located at EJUICEIT.COM, which is an interactive website that is accessible by Internet users in this district and offers goods to residents of North Carolina. The infringing products that Defendants offer under the CAMEL Marks, or colorable imitations thereof, and the CAMEL TURKISH Marks, or colorable imitations thereof, and make available through Defendants' Website to purchasers in the United States, are capable of being ordered, and have been ordered, by purchasers in North Carolina and within this judicial district, and are capable of being shipped, and have been shipped, to purchasers in North Carolina and within this judicial district.
- 17. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that, on information and belief, a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this judicial district.

PERMANENT INJUNCTION AND ORDER

Based on the parties' stipulation and agreement hereto, it is hereby **ORDERED**, **ADJUDGED**, and **DECREED** as follows:

- 1. Defendants and their agents, representatives, employees, attorneys, successors and assigns, and all others in active concert or participation with Defendants or either of them, are hereby **PERMANENTLY ENJOINED AND RESTRAINED** from:
 - a) using, advertising, promoting, displaying, or making any use whatsoever, in connection with the advertisement, promotion, or sale of tobacco, tobacco-related products, vapor products or vapor-related products, electronic cigarettes or products related to electronic cigarettes, liquid nicotine products, or flavored nicotine products, of (i) the designation "CAMEL," or any colorable imitation of the CAMEL Marks; (ii) the designation "CAMEL TURKISH," or any colorable imitation of the CAMEL TURKISH Marks (including but not limited to the designation "Turkish The Camel Type"); (iii) visual or graphic depictions of a camel or of camels; and (iv) the following Reynolds trademarks or any colorable imitations thereof: BARCLAY, BELAIR, CAPRI, CARLTON, DORAL, ECLIPSE, GPC, KOOL, LUCKY STRIKE, MISTY, MONARCH, MORE,

- NOW, PALL MALL, SALEM, TAREYTON, VANTAGE, VICEROY, VUSE, and WINSTON;
- b) engaging in any other activity constituting an infringement of RII's trademarks; and
- c) using any false designation of origin or false representations which are likely to lead the trade, the public, or individuals to believe erroneously that Defendants, or either of them, are in any way sponsored, approved, authorized, or controlled by Reynolds.
- 2. Defendants shall pay to RII the confidential amount of money agreed upon by the parties.
- 3. RII and Defendants acknowledge that they have knowingly and voluntarily entered into this Consent Judgment after reviewing the same with their counsel or having had ample opportunity to consult with counsel of their choice. RII and Defendants understand the undertakings, obligations and terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 4. Except as to Defendants' obligations set forth in this Consent Judgment, RII's claims against Defendants in this Action are hereby dismissed with prejudice. No appeals shall be taken from this Consent Judgment, and Defendants hereby waive all right to appeal from this Consent Judgment.
- 5. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter to enforce a violation of this Judgment's terms. If any such violation occurs, the Court may award, (a) without regard to proof of actual damages, an amount the Court deems adequate to compensate

RII for such breach; as well as (b) injunctive relief enjoining any further breach of this Order, or such modifications to the present Order as the Court deems appropriate; (c) attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements, as determined by the Court; and (d) such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

It is SO ORDERED, this the /o day of October, 2013.

Senior United States District Judge

[SIGNATURES OF THE PARTIES APPEAR ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE]

CONSENTED AND AGREED TO BY:	
PLAINTIFF:	DEFENDANTS:
REYNOLDS INNOVATIONS INC.	DAVID RODRIGUEZ
Signature: Michael Madyan	Signature:
Printed Name Michael J. Madigan	Date: (1/24/13
Title: Sccretary	
Date: 9/30//3	
7 1 / 1 -	DEJUICE DROP SHOT FLAVORZ
	Signature:
	Printed Name: Fland Radingse?
	Title: Charer
	Date: 4/04/13